

## SCRUTINY SCORECARD - - SUMMARY FOR MEMBERS

Quarter 3 2007/08

Members wishing to find out more information on the precise definitions and means of measurement of each PI should consult the explanatory notes which were agreed by O&S on 10 July 2007.

Ref	Q/Annual	Ref name	Target	Variance	Q1 Actual	Q2 Actual	Q3 Actual	Q4 Actual	Commentary
C1	Q	% of issues considering data from the Forward Plan	60%	10% Variance	N/A	0% RED	8% - RED		Consideration of Forward Plan items regularly remains a particular issue. More details can be found in the covering report.
C2	Q	% of issues considering data from scrutiny leads	60%	10% Variance	N/A	100% - GREEN	73% - GREEN		Performance remains high, emphasising the important role played by the scrutiny leads. This figure is arguably more indicative than the Q2 data, which was (as advised previously) based on limited information.
C3	Q	% of issues deriving directly from the corp S / PI function	50%	10% Variance	N/A	0% RED	41% - AMBER		Agenda planning in Q3 - particularly in respect of Performance and Finance - has ensured that performance issues have been considered more closely in this quarter, although performance still needs to be improved.
C4	A	% of WP items subjected to VFM test under Scr Principles	100%	3% Variance					
C5	Q	% of comments to hits received at scrutiny website (as %)	13%	10% Variance	N/A	N/A	N/A		It has still proved impossible to acquire these figures from HITS. It may be that full-year and retrospective figures will become available in time for Q4. More information is provided in the covering report.
C6	A	% of findings reflecting cmnts made by local ppl	30%	10% Variance					
C7	A	% of res panel with a "g" or "fg" knowldg of scrutiny	30%	10% Variance					
PE1	A	% of offs cnsdring scr's input into pol "useful"/"v useful".	100%	5% Variance					
PE2	Q	Circulation of review info prior to publication	100%	5% Variance	N/A	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN		
PE3	A	% of offs cnsdring opp to input into WP "useful"/"v useful"	100%	5% Variance					
PE4	A	% of offs sat with scrutiny process overall	100%	10% Variance					

PE5	Q	% of recs approved by cabinet	100%	3% Variance	N/A	0% - RED	N/A	No reviews reported to cabinet during Q3. There may be an argument for making this an annual indicator.
R1	A	Delivery of scrutiny WP within budget (% budget spent)	100%	10% Variance				
R2	A	Delivery of IDRs within resources (% of budget spent)	100%	10% Variance				
R3	A	Completion of PM framework as required	100%	3% Variance				
R4	A	% of reviews successfully monitored on a 0.5yr/1yr basis	100%	5% Variance				
R5	A	Prop of revs dmnstrng signif pos imp on service revw'd	100%	10% Variance				
PS1	Q	% of findings reflecting evidence received from ptrns	60%	10% Variance	N/A	24% - RED	100% - GREEN	High performance here reflects the inclusion of the AccordMP recommendations.
PS2	Q	% of meetings attended by co-optees where required	80%	10% Variance	N/A	50% - RED	54.5% - RED	Co-optee attendance remains relatively static. Further work may be necessary to ensure that co-optees are fully involved in the review process, although co-optee attendance is broadly comparable with member attendance. More information in the covering report.
PS3	A	% of partners "satisfied" with scrutiny process	100%	10% Variance				
PS4	Q	Ratio ext:int witnesses on relevant reviews (as %)	33%	10% Variance	N/A	62.5% - GREEN	57% - GREEN	
PS5	Q	% of recs based on analysis of "bp" evidence	100%	10% Variance	N/A	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN	
PS6	Q	% of recs relating to ptrnship wkng, where appropriate	60%	10% Variance	N/A	80% - GREEN	100% - GREEN	High score here reflects the findings of the AccordMP review, which had a partnership focus.
S1	Q	Reviews reporting at agreed times	100%	3% Variance	N/A	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN	All reviews continue to report to committee and cabinet as originally agreed.
S2	Q	Rev gp agendas made available 5 days in adv of meeting	100%	10% Variance	N/A	92% - AMBER	87% - RED	Performance here has dropped slightly since Q2. This reflects two meetings in Q3 where papers were distributed late. More details are provided in the covering report.
S3	Q	Timely production of Harrow Scrutiny newsletter	100%	5% Variance	N/A	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN	Production of the newsletter continues to proceed on schedule.
S4	Q	Info available on scrutiny website	100%	10% Variance	N/A	0% - RED	100% - GREEN	All information has now been uploaded onto the scrutiny website, including information on past reviews and updates on current work streams.
S5	Q	Review meetings attended by Members where required	100%	10% Variance	N/A	46% - RED	65% - RED	Performance has improved slightly. The level of attendance does seem to vary from review to review. More information can be found in the covering report.
S6	A	% of councillors "happy" with op of the scrutiny process	90%	10% Variance				

## RESULTS

Lower threshold:	FOUR
Middle threshold:	TWO
Upper threshold	NINE
No data:	TWO